

---

## **Effect of Strategic Communication on the Performance of County Government Organizations in Kenya**

**Yussuf Mohamed Kala<sup>\*1</sup> Charity W. Muraguri, PhD<sup>1</sup>, and Gabriel Okello, PhD<sup>1</sup>**

<sup>1</sup>*United States International University – Africa*  
yussufdidow@yahoo.com

### **\*Corresponding Author**

Cite: Kala, Y.M., Muraguri, C.W., Okello, G. (2026). Effect of Strategic Communication on the Performance of County Government Organizations in Kenya. *The University Journal*, 8(1), 43-56.

---

### **Abstract**

*Strategic communication has emerged as a critical driver of organizational performance in contemporary public sector management. In an environment characterized by increasing citizen expectations, decentralization, and accountability demands, public institutions are required not only to formulate sound policies but also to communicate them effectively to diverse stakeholders. This study assessed the effect of strategic communication on the performance of county government organizations in Kenya. The target population consisted of 1,190 County Executive Committee Members (CECs) and County Chief Officers (CCOs) across 34 counties, from which a sample of 299 respondents was selected using stratified random sampling. Data were collected through structured questionnaires. Regression analysis revealed that strategic communication had a significant positive effect on organizational performance ( $R = .427$ ,  $R^2 = .183$ ,  $Adjusted R^2 = .178$ ,  $F(1,190) = 42.444$ ,  $p < .001$ ), explaining 18.3% of the variation in performance. The findings showed that while leadership-driven and transparent communication channels are well established, notable gaps persist in inclusivity, bottom-up dialogue, and the consistency of feedback mechanisms. These shortcomings limit employee involvement in decision-making and reduce organizational cohesion. The study concludes that strategic communication is a key driver of county government performance but requires greater inclusivity and standardized practices across departments. It further recommends strengthening feedback loops, enhancing participatory communication, and leveraging digital platforms to improve transparency, trust, and service delivery.*

**Keywords:** Strategic communication, Organizational performance, County government, Kenya

### **Introduction**

Strategic communication refers to the deliberate and planned use of communication to achieve organizational goals through coordinated planning, execution, and evaluation of communication initiatives (Hallahan et al., 2007). In the public sector, where performance is often evaluated in terms of service delivery quality, efficiency and effective utilization of resources, effective communication becomes an indispensable driver of organizational success (Heath & Coombs, 2019). It enhances not only information dissemination but also stakeholder engagement, trust-building, and policy implementation, all of which are vital to governance.

Globally, empirical studies underscore the central role of communication in strengthening the performance of government institutions. In Bahrain, Alaali et al. (2021) observed that communication strategies emphasizing stakeholder engagement and collaboration significantly improved accountability and responsiveness of public agencies. Likewise, Sharma and Khosla (2019) found in Yemen that transparency and effective information dissemination mechanisms fostered citizen trust and improved service delivery outcomes. In Australia, Johnston and Taylor (2022) revealed that strategic communication was critical in managing reforms, particularly in aligning public expectations with government policies. Similar findings are echoed in Portugal, where Steyn and Puth (2021) argue that effective communication enhances understanding of policy initiatives, thereby improving local government performance. Nwosu and Udeze (2021), studying New Zealand, highlight how transparent communication in government reduces corruption, strengthens citizen trust, and improves efficiency.

In African contexts, communication has been particularly important in bridging governance gaps. Rwanda's post-genocide reconstruction is often cited as a case where strategic communication supported reconciliation and policy legitimacy (Ouafaa, 2021). In Uganda, Tumusiime and Nalwanga (2019) emphasize the importance of communication channels in local governments for addressing service delivery gaps and building stronger citizen-government relations. These regional insights highlight how communication is not merely an operational tool but a governance mechanism with direct performance implications.

Locally, in Kenya, the advent of devolution under the 2010 Constitution created county governments as key centers of service delivery. Strategic communication has since gained attention as a lever for enhancing county-level governance, public participation, and transparency. Yet, empirical evidence suggests significant shortcomings. Wagana et al. (2017) identify poor communication strategies as one of the major barriers undermining governance and service delivery in counties. Similarly, Muriithi (2020) found that counties prioritizing transparent and structured communication with both internal stakeholders and the public demonstrated superior performance in the quality of their service delivery, efficiency and effectiveness in resource utilization. Nonetheless, communication practices across counties remain inconsistent, fragmented, and often reactive rather than strategic.

Despite the global and regional recognition of the role of communication in driving public sector performance, there is a notable research gap in the Kenyan context. Much of the existing scholarship has concentrated on governance, leadership, and devolution challenges in general, while treating communication as a peripheral or secondary factor (Wagana et al., 2017; Muriithi, 2020). Few studies provide a systematic, empirical exploration of the mechanisms through which strategic communication influences county government performance in areas such as service delivery, quality management, efficiency and effectiveness in resource utilization. Furthermore, international evidence, though instructive, cannot be directly transferred to the Kenyan context given the unique dynamics of devolved governance, resource constraints, and political structures.

This gap underscores the need for deeper empirical inquiry into how strategic communication affects county government performance in Kenya. Addressing this gap is critical not only for academic discourse but also for informing policy and practice, enabling county governments to leverage communication as a strategic tool for enhancing governance and delivering on their constitutional mandate. To this end, this study addressed this gap by examining the effect of strategic communication on the performance of county government organizations in Kenya. The study sought to test the following hypothesis:

H<sub>0</sub>: Strategic communication has no significant influence on the organizational performance of county governments in Kenya.

## **Literature Review**

### ***Theoretical Framework***

This study is anchored on Strategic Leadership Theory (SLT) and Stakeholder Theory, which together provide a useful lens for explaining how strategic communication influences the performance of county governments in Kenya.

#### *Strategic Leadership Theory (SLT)*

The Strategic Leadership Theory, advanced by Hambrick and Mason (1984), underscores the role of top leaders in shaping organizational outcomes through vision, direction, and strategic decision-making. Strategic leaders influence performance by setting priorities, creating alignment, and ensuring that their strategic intentions are understood and implemented at all levels (Oppong, 2019). A critical component of this process is strategic communication, which enables leaders to articulate organizational objectives clearly, build consensus, and mobilize support. Within county governments, leaders such as governors and county executives play a central role in communicating strategic priorities to both internal staff and the public. Effective communication ensures transparency, reduces policy misunderstandings, and aligns departmental efforts with overarching county goals. For example, clear communication regarding budget allocations, service delivery programs, or public participation forums enhances efficiency and strengthens citizen trust, thereby improving organizational performance.

However, SLT faces notable criticisms. It has been faulted for overemphasizing the influence of top leaders while neglecting the roles of middle managers, institutional constraints, and external environmental factors (Carpenter et al., 2004; Finkelstein et al., 2009). The theory's broad constructs, difficulties in measurement, and limited cross-cultural applicability (Abatecola & Cristofaro, 2019; Wang et al., 2016) also constrain its explanatory power. In the Kenyan devolved context, where performance depends not only on governors' leadership but also on participatory governance, citizen engagement, and institutional dynamics, SLT must therefore be complemented by other perspectives.

#### *Stakeholder Theory*

Stakeholder Theory, introduced by Freeman (1983), posits that organizations are successful only when they address the needs and interests of a wide range of stakeholders, not just shareholders (Freeman, 2023). Applied to county governments, the theory emphasizes that counties must balance the expectations of diverse groups, citizens, employees, civil society organizations, businesses, and development partners, to achieve sustainable performance. Strategic communication becomes central in this balancing act, as it is through clear, transparent, and inclusive communication, counties can engage stakeholders, build trust, and ensure accountability (Ontita & Kinyua, 2020).

In practice, counties that communicate policies, budgets, and development plans openly are more likely to enjoy citizen support, higher participation in decision-making, and reduced resistance to reforms. This aligns with the constitutional requirement for public participation in governance, where communication is the conduit for inclusivity. Despite its relevance,

Stakeholder Theory also attracts criticisms. It is sometimes viewed as politically biased, undermining market economy principles and prioritizing ethical considerations over efficiency (Jensen, 2018). Its broad and normative stance can make it difficult to operationalize in complex government settings where stakeholder interests often conflict. In devolved Kenyan counties, balancing the interests of citizens, political actors, and interest groups presents practical challenges that may dilute the idealism of the theory.

Taken together, SLT and Stakeholder Theory provide a complementary framework. SLT highlights the role of leadership in setting vision and strategy, while Stakeholder Theory emphasizes the need to communicate and align those strategies with the interests of multiple actors. In county governments, strategic communication emerges as the critical link between leadership intent and stakeholder engagement. This dual perspective provides a robust theoretical foundation for investigating how strategic communication affects performance dimensions such as service delivery quality, efficiency and effective resource utilization.

### ***Empirical Review***

Strategic communication is widely recognized as a leadership tool that significantly shapes organizational performance by influencing employee motivation, stakeholder engagement, and institutional alignment. Griffin (2020) emphasizes that effective communication is foundational for organizational development, noting that poor communication often results in mismanagement and adverse outcomes. While such insights underline the general importance of communication, the empirical evidence shows nuanced patterns depending on context, sector, and communication practices.

Globally, studies across diverse industries demonstrate the influence of strategic communication on organizational outcomes. In the Greek banking sector, Kalogiannidis (2020) found that open and efficient communication improved employee performance and contributed to long-term profitability. Similarly, in the United States during COVID-19, Lee et al. (2021) revealed that diversity-oriented leadership combined with transparent internal communication enhanced employee autonomy, competence, and knowledge-sharing, thus improved organizational resilience in crisis contexts. These studies highlight the adaptability of strategic communication to varied circumstances, yet they also suggest that its effectiveness depends on how communication is structured and contextualized.

In higher education, Syakur et al. (2020) showed that communication and organizational culture influenced trust, cooperation, and leadership among lecturers in the Bahamas. Likewise, Newman et al. (2020) found in Suriname, that team performance in virtual contexts was strongly correlated with leaders' communication effectiveness. These findings extend the application of strategic communication beyond traditional business settings, reinforcing its role in fostering collaboration and cohesion across sectors. However, the contexts studied differ considerably from public administration, where accountability and citizen participation introduce more complex dynamics than employee satisfaction or teamwork alone.

Contrasting evidence also exists. Musheke and Phiri (2021), drawing on systems theory, found no significant association between management and the communication channel used, though they confirmed that strategic communication facilitated more informed decision-making. This suggests that while the channel may not matter, the quality and timeliness of communication are crucial. Such nuances highlight the importance of moving beyond broad assertions to examining the mechanisms through which communication shapes outcomes.

In the Kenyan context, Nyong'a and Maina (2019) established that well-defined and communicated goals created unity and collaboration at the Kenya Revenue Authority, enhancing organizational performance. This evidence shows that communication is not only about information transmission but also about fostering shared vision and collective action. However, studies remain limited to national institutions, with little attention to devolved county governments where communication challenges are amplified by resource constraints, political contestations, and diverse stakeholder demands.

Transparency emerges as another recurring theme. Bhatt et al. (2021) emphasize that open information exchange is central to transparency in government, while Felzman (2020) argues that transparency enhances public trust by ensuring decisions and procedures are accessible and comprehensible. Li et al. (2021), studying public employees in Inner Mongolia, found that open communication reduced uncertainty during transitions, while Androniceanu (2021) highlighted in Romania that inclusive communication led to more responsive policies. These studies underscore the performance benefits of transparent communication but also suggest that the relationship is contingent on governance culture and institutional trust.

Kenyan studies on transparency in governance echo these global findings. Muthomi and Thurmaier (2021) argue that communication in government institutions should create a dialogic space that enables participation and accountability, with digital technologies such as social media and websites facilitating broader citizen engagement. However, they caution that these technologies demand accuracy, consistency, and clarity, requirements that many county governments still struggle to meet.

Finally, empirical evidence links strategic communication to citizen engagement and participation. Vakalerov and Rogerson (2020) emphasize that communication fosters dialogue between governments and citizens, while Malik et al. (2021) highlights how digital tools enhance interactive communication. In Kenya, Otieno et al. (2021) stress that internal communication across departments is equally critical for coordinated service delivery, while Yabarow and Muathe (2020) underline that communication serves as the foundation for transparency, responsiveness, and trust. Despite these insights, most studies remain descriptive, often stopping short of empirically testing how communication mechanisms translate into measurable improvements in governance performance.

Overall, the reviewed literature confirms the importance of strategic communication in enhancing organizational performance across sectors and geographies. However, three critical gaps remain. First, much of the evidence is drawn from corporate, educational, and international public administration settings, raising questions about its direct applicability to Kenya's devolved county governments. Second, while communication is consistently linked to performance outcomes, the mechanisms, whether through service delivery and quality, efficiency and effectiveness in resource utilization, are less systematically examined. Third, Kenyan studies on county governments remain sparse, fragmented, and largely focused on governance broadly rather than strategic communication in particular. Addressing these gaps is essential for understanding how county governments in Kenya can leverage strategic communication to enhance service delivery, quality, efficiency and effective resource utilization.

## **Methodology**

The study employed a correlation research design, grounded in a positivist philosophy to investigate the effect of strategic communication on performance of county government

organizations in Kenya. Data was collected through structured questionnaires. Stratified random sampling technique was used to sample 299 County Executive Committee Members (CECs) and County Chief Officers (CCOs). Collected data was analyzed through descriptive and inferential statistics using SPSS version 29. The questionnaire was validated through pilot testing, and reliability was confirmed with a Cronbach's alpha above 0.7. Ethical approval was secured from the institutional ethical review committee, and all ethical principles of research were strictly adhered to throughout the study.

## **Findings**

### ***Demographic Characteristics***

The demographic analysis shows that county leadership is male-dominated (76%), with women underrepresented at 24%. Most respondents were between 31–50 years (54.7%), indicating a relatively youthful to mid-career leadership base. The majority were highly educated, with over half holding Master’s degrees (54.7%) and about a third PhDs (34.9%), suggesting strong academic competence among leaders. County Chief Officers formed the largest group (69%), while County Executive Committee Members accounted for 31%. In terms of tenure, most had served 3–6 years (71.9%), pointing to moderate experience but relatively limited long-term continuity. Importantly, nearly all respondents (98%) reported active involvement in decision-making, confirming their central role in county governance.

**Table 1**

### *Demographic Characteristics*

<b>Variable</b>	<b>Indicator</b>	<b>Frequency</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
Gender	Male	146	76.0%
	Female	46	24.0%
Age	Below 30 Years	50	26.0%
	31 - 40 Years	49	25.5%
	41 - 50 Years	56	29.2%
	Above 50 Years	37	19.3%
Education	Bachelor’s Degree	20	6.8%
	Master’s Degree	105	54.7%
	PhD	67	34.9%
Job Position	County Executive Committee Member	60	31%
	County Chief Officer	132	69%
Period of Service	3 Years	80	41.7%
	4-6 Years	58	30.2%
	7-9 Years	40	20.8%
	Over 10 Years	14	7.3%
Involvement in Decision-Making	No	4	2%
	Yes	188	98%

## ***Descriptive Analysis***

### *Strategic Communication*

The descriptive statistics reveal that strategic communication in county governments is generally perceived positively, with most items scoring above the midpoint. Leaders are seen as effectively engaging employees in discussions on strategic initiatives (M = 4.51, SD = 0.709) and ensuring transparency in key decisions (M = 4.44, SD = 0.714). Information sharing on policy decisions (M = 4.31) and stakeholder participation in planning (M = 4.38) also scored highly, indicating strong openness and inclusivity at the institutional level. Clear channels for feedback are provided (M = 4.36), and feedback is reportedly taken seriously (M = 4.19), reinforcing accountability. However, two areas showed notable weaknesses, employees perceive limited encouragement to participate in departmental decision-making (M = 3.49, SD = 0.605) and irregular solicitation of feedback on performance-related issues (M = 3.05, SD = 1.320). The lowest rating was for inclusivity in decision-making (M = 2.65, SD = 1.407), suggesting that despite strong communication structures, true participatory inclusiveness remains a gap in county governance practices.

**Table 2**

### *Descriptive Statistics for Strategic Communication*

<b>Strategic Communication</b>	<b>Mean</b>	<b>Std. Dev</b>
The leadership in the county government ensures that key decisions are communicated transparently to all relevant stakeholders.	4.44	0.714
Employees feel that decision-making processes within the county government are open and transparent.	4.15	0.894
The county government effectively shares information regarding policy decisions with its employees and the public.	4.31	0.742
The county government leadership actively engages employees in discussions on strategic initiatives.	4.51	0.709
Employees are encouraged to participate in decision-making processes in their respective departments.	3.49	0.605
Stakeholders, including the public, are regularly invited to participate in county government planning and decision-making activities.	4.38	0.676
The leadership in the county government promotes an inclusive environment where all voices are considered before major decisions are made.	2.65	1.407
The county government leadership provides clear channels for employees to give feedback on organizational decisions	4.36	0.794
Feedback from employees and the public is taken seriously and is used to inform future decisions by the county government.	4.19	0.742
The leadership in the county government regularly solicits feedback from employees on issues that affect their performance.	3.05	1.320

*Organizational Performance*

The results showed that county governments in Kenya are generally perceived to perform well in service delivery and resource management, with the highest ratings given to delivery of high-quality services (M = 4.54) and effective use of human resources (M = 4.31). Public satisfaction and responsiveness to service requests were also viewed positively, though services meeting citizen needs scored lower (M = 3.92), suggesting a gap between provision and expectations. Internally, roles and responsibilities were found to be well-defined, and employees were considered competent, supporting effective performance. While resource allocation was rated as transparent and well-planned, the relatively lower score on financial resource utilization (M = 3.95) indicates lingering concerns about fiscal efficiency. Overall, the findings highlight strong organizational capacity but emphasize the need for county governments to better align services with citizen priorities and enhance financial management practices.

**Table 3**

*Organizational Performance*

<b>Organizational Performance</b>	<b>Mean</b>	<b>Std. Dev</b>
The county government consistently delivers high-quality services to the public.	4.54	0.629
Public satisfaction with county government services is generally high.	4.29	0.693
The county government responds promptly to public service requests.	4.27	0.716
Services provided by the county government meet the needs of its citizens	3.92	0.689
The county government effectively utilizes its human resources to achieve its objectives.	4.31	0.699
Employee roles and responsibilities within the county government are well-defined and efficiently managed.	4.30	0.731
The county government workforce is competent and adequately trained to handle their responsibilities.	4.20	0.747
The county government utilizes its financial resources efficiently to maximize service delivery	3.95	0.665
Resource allocation within the county government is well-planned and transparent.	4.22	0.729
The county government effectively manages its resources to avoid waste and inefficiencies.	4.17	0.711

***Inferential Analysis***

*Regression*

The regression results showed that strategic communication has a statistically significant effect on organizational performance in county governments (R = 0.427, p < 0.001). The R Square

value of 0.183 indicated that strategic communication explains 18.3% of the variance in organizational performance, while the Adjusted R Square (0.178) confirmed the model's stability after adjusting for sample size. The F-statistic ( $F = 42.444$ ,  $df = 1,190$ ,  $p < 0.001$ ) further demonstrated that the model is statistically significant, meaning strategic communication is a meaningful predictor of performance. However, with only 18.3% of variance explained, other factors beyond communication also play a substantial role in shaping county government performance.

**Table 4**

*Regression Model Summary*

Model	R	R Square	Adjusted R Square	Std. Error of the Estimate
1	.427 <sup>a</sup>	.183	.178	.42935

a. Predictors: (Constant), Strategic Communication

To assess the overall significance of the regression model predicting organizational performance from strategic communication, ANOVA was conducted. The ANOVA results indicated that the model was statistically significant ( $F = 42.444$ ,  $p < 0.001$ ). This suggests that including strategic communication as a predictor substantially contributes to the prediction of organizational performance of county governments in Kenya.

**Table 5**

ANOVA

Model		Sum of Squares	Df	Mean Square	F	Sig.
1	Regression	7.824	1	7.824	42.444	.000 <sup>b</sup>
	Residual	35.024	190	.184		
	Total	42.849	191			

a. Dependent Variable: Organizational Performance

b. Predictors: (Constant), Strategic Communication

The regression was conducted to examine the effect of strategic communication on organizational performance. The unstandardized coefficient ( $B = 0.540$ ,  $p < 0.001$ ) suggests that for a one-unit increase in Strategic Communication, there would be a predicted 0.540-unit increase in Organizational Performance, *ceteris paribus*. The standardized beta coefficient ( $\beta = 0.427$ ) indicates a moderate but significant influence of Strategic Communication on Organizational Performance. Although the 95% confidence interval is not provided here, the high t-value (6.515) and the significance level ( $p < 0.001$ ) confirm the statistical strength of the relationship. These findings emphasize that improved strategic communication through clear information flow, transparency, and alignment of goals can enhance the overall effectiveness and performance of county government institutions. The t-test was used to test the study hypothesis, which stated:

$H_0$ : Strategic communication has no significant influence on the performance of county government organizations in Kenya.

The t-value of 5.954 and significant p-value (0.000) provided enough evidence to reject the null hypothesis and conclude that strategic communication has a significant influence on organizational performance.

The model drawn from the regression analysis is as follows:

$$\text{Organizational Performance} = 1.980 + 0.083 (\text{Strategic Communication}) + 0.083$$

**Table 6**

*Coefficients on Strategic Communication and Organizational Performance*

Model		Unstandardized Coefficients		Standardized Coefficients	t	Sig.
		B	Std. Error	Beta		
1	(Constant)	1.980	.333		5.954	.000
	Strategic Communication	.540	.083	.427	6.515	.000

a. Dependent Variable: Organizational Performance

**Discussion of Results**

The study highlights transparency in decision-making as a key element of strategic communication in county governments, consistent with Bourne (2016). While transparency is linked to trust and strategic alignment, the findings reveal that communication is not fully participatory. This signals a shortcoming in democratic engagement within county systems, raising questions about whether transparency is merely symbolic rather than substantive. In contexts where hierarchical cultures dominate, what is labeled as “transparent” may still exclude meaningful involvement of frontline staff, a limitation this study exposes but does not fully resolve. The results also reflect strong top-down dissemination of policy information and leadership-driven engagement, aligning with Men (2014). However, this structure risks reinforcing vertical imbalances, as noted by Welch and Jackson (2007). The low scores for employee participation in departmental decision-making suggest that strategic communication is more about transmitting instructions than fostering dialogue. This challenges the assumption that strong leadership communication is inherently effective, without mechanisms for horizontal and bottom-up engagement, organizational cohesion may be undermined, and staff may feel alienated from decision-making processes.

Similarly, while stakeholder and public involvement were rated positively, the very low inclusivity score in internal decision-making suggests a paradox. External stakeholders are prioritized over internal employees, who are crucial in policy execution. This discrepancy, although also noted by Bryson et al. (2014), raises the critical issue of whether county governments are practicing “performative participation” with the public while neglecting substantive internal involvement. The risk is that employees tasked with implementation may lack ownership of strategies, undermining both morale and performance.

Feedback systems were generally well rated, aligning with Mazzei et al. (2012). However, the variability in responses, coupled with inconsistent solicitation of input, indicates that feedback mechanisms may be unevenly applied or even symbolic in nature, as Tourish and Robson (2022) caution. This suggests that communication practices are shaped more by departmental

leadership styles than by standardized county-wide frameworks, limiting institutional learning. The study could have interrogated whether feedback provided is acted upon, as unutilized feedback risks eroding trust over time.

Issues of message clarity and consistency emerged strongly, with evidence of misalignment between directives and departmental interpretations. While this supports Clampitt et al. (2021), it underscores a structural weakness in multi-level governance with each additional communication layer increasing the risk of distortion. This finding points to a systemic issue that requires structural solutions, such as streamlined channels and stronger accountability mechanisms, rather than relying solely on leadership clarity.

Finally, while digital platforms were identified as enablers of real-time engagement, the discussion rightly notes disparities in access and literacy. However, the analysis could go further: overreliance on digital platforms risks exacerbating inequalities between urban and rural departments, creating a “two-tier” communication system. As Macnamara and Zeffass (2012) suggest, digital tools only enhance transparency if embedded within a broader culture of openness and supported by equitable capacity-building. Thus, the study reveals a digital divide that county governments must urgently address to avoid reinforcing structural exclusion.

## **Conclusion**

This study concludes that strategic communication is a central driver of organizational performance in Kenya’s county governments. While transparency and leadership-driven channels are firmly established, communication practices remain heavily top-down, with limited inclusivity and weak participatory mechanisms. Although information dissemination to employees and engagement with external stakeholders are relatively strong, internal communication is unbalanced, particularly in relation to horizontal dialogue and bottom-up feedback. The low levels of employee involvement in decision-making and the inconsistency of feedback systems risk undermining trust, morale, and organizational cohesion.

## **Recommendations**

- i. It is recommended that county governments in Kenya strengthen their strategic communication frameworks by embedding inclusivity, consistency, and accountability across all departments. Leaders should move beyond top-level transparency to ensure participatory communication cascades to departmental and unit levels, where employees often feel excluded from decision-making. This can be achieved by institutionalizing structured forums such as staff consultative meetings and cross-functional workshops to give employees a stronger voice in shaping priorities.
- ii. At the same time, county governments should develop clear policies to standardize communication practices across departments, minimizing disparities in access to information and reducing message distortion.
- iii. Feedback mechanisms must also be reinforced through regular employee surveys, digital dashboards, and suggestion platforms, with visible action taken on input received to build trust and cohesion.
- iv. Additionally, governments should leverage digital platforms such as intranets and collaborative tools, supported by digital literacy training, to facilitate real-time engagement and bridge gaps across diverse departments.
- v. Finally, targeted capacity-building initiatives for leaders and managers are essential to promote participatory leadership and effective communication strategies that foster inclusivity, strengthen horizontal dialogue, and ensure bottom-up communication is

valued. Collectively, these measures can enhance transparency, boost employee morale, improve organizational cohesion, and ultimately strengthen service delivery in county governments.

## Reference

- Abatecola, G., & Cristofaro, M. (2019). Hambrick and Mason's "Upper Echelons Theory": Evolution and open avenues. *Journal of Management History*, 25(1), 116–136. <https://doi.org/10.1108/JMH-02-2018-0016>
- Alaali, N., Al Marzouqi, A., Albaqaen, A., Dahabreh, F., Alshurideh, M., Mouzaek, E., ... & Aburayya, A. (2021). The impact of adopting corporate governance strategic performance in the tourism sector: A case study in the Kingdom of Bahrain. *J. Legal Ethical & Regul.* 24 (1), 1-18.
- Androniceanu, A. (2021). Transparency in public administration as a challenge for a good democratic governance. *Revista» Administratie si Management Public (RAMP)*, (36), 149-164.
- Bhatt, U., Antorán, J., Zhang, Y., Liao, Q. V., Sattigeri, P., Fogliato, R., ... & Xiang, A. (2021, July). Uncertainty as a form of transparency: Measuring, communicating, and using uncertainty. *In Proceedings of the 2021 AAAI/ACM Conference on AI, Ethics, and Society* (pp. 401-413).
- Bourne, L. (2016). Targeted communication: the key to effective stakeholder engagement. *Procedia - Social and Behavioral Sciences*, 226, 431-438.
- Bryson, J. M., Crosby, B. C., & Bloomberg, L. (2014). Public value governance: Moving beyond traditional public administration and the new public management. *Public administration review*, 74(4), 445-456.
- Carpenter, M. A., Geletkanycz, M. A., & Sanders, W. G. (2004). Upper echelons research revisited: Antecedents, elements, and consequences of top management team composition. *Journal of Management*, 30(6), 749–778. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jm.2004.06.001>
- Felzman, H., Fosch-Villaronga, E., Lutz, C., & Tamò-Larrieux, A. (2020). Towards transparency by design for artificial intelligence. *Science and engineering ethics*, 26(6), 3333-3361.
- Finkelstein, S., Hambrick, D. C., & Cannella, A. A. (2009). *Strategic leadership: Theory and research on executives, top management teams, and boards*. Oxford University Press.
- Freeman, R. E. (2023). Stakeholder theory: The state of the art (Online Updated ed.). Cambridge University Press.
- Griffin, M. (2020). Organizational performance model. *International Journal of Communications, Network and System Sciences*, 9.
- Hallahan, K., Holtzhausen, D., Van Ruler, B., Verčič, D., & Sriramesh, K. (2007). Defining strategic communication. *International journal of strategic communication*, 1(1), 3-35.
- Hambrick, D. C., & Mason, P. A. (1984). Upper echelons: The organization as a reflection of its top managers. *The Academy of Management Review*, 9(2), 193–206. <https://doi.org/10.2307/258434>
- Jensen, M. C. (2018). Value maximization, stakeholder theory, and corporate objective function. *Journal of Applied Corporate Finance*, 30(3), 32–42. <https://doi.org/10.1111/jacf.12280>
- Johnston, K. A., & Taylor, M. (2022). Engagement as strategy: A framework for strategic communication. *In Research handbook on strategic communication* (pp. 384-399). Edward Elgar Publishing.
- Kalogiannidis, S. (2020). Impact of effective business communication on employee performance. *European Journal of Business and Management Research*, 5(6) <https://doi.org/10.24018/ejbmr.2020.5.6.631>.
- Lee, Y., Tao, W., Li, J. Y. Q., & Sun, R. (2021). Enhancing employees' knowledge sharing through diversity-oriented leadership and strategic internal communication during the COVID-19 outbreak. *Journal of knowledge management*, 25(6), 1526-1549.
- Li, J., Wang, W., Tivnan, M., Sulam, J., Prince, J. L., McNitt-Gray, M., ... & Gang, G. J. (2022, October). Local linearity analysis of deep learning CT denoising algorithms. *In 7th International Conference on Image Formation in X-Ray Computed Tomography* (Vol. 12304, pp. 174-178). SPIE.

- Macnamara, J., & Zerfass, A. (2012). Social media communication in organizations: The challenges of balancing openness, strategy, and management. *International journal of strategic communication*, 6(4), 287-308.
- Malik, N., Tripathi, S.N., Kar, A.K., & Gupta S. (2021). Impact of artificial intelligence on employees working in industry 4.0 led organizations. *Int. J. Manpow.*, 43 (2) (2021), 334-354.
- Mazzei, A., Kim, J. N., DellOro, C. (2012). Strategic Value of Employee Relationships and Communicative Actions: Overcoming Corporate Crisis with Quality Internal Communication. *International Journal of Strategic Communication* 6(1), 31-44. DOI:[10.1080/1553118X.2011.634869](https://doi.org/10.1080/1553118X.2011.634869)
- Muriithi, M. W. (2020). Challenges Facing Performance Contracting in Kenyan County Governments: A Case of Nyeri County. *International Journal of Public Policy and Administration Research*, 7, 88-97.
- Musheke, M. M., & Phiri, J. (2021). The effects of strategic communication on organizational performance based on the systems theory. *Open Journal of Business and Management*, 9(2), 659-671.
- Muthomi, F., & Thurmaier, K. (2021). Participatory transparency in Kenya: Toward an engaged budgeting model of local governance. *Public administration review*, 81(3), 519-531.
- Newman, S. A., Ford, R. C., & Marshall, G. W. (2020). Virtual team leader communication: Employee perception and organizational reality. *International Journal of Business Communication*, 57(4), 452-473.
- Nwosu, I., & Udeze, S. (2021). Public relations and government communication in Nigeria: A study of post-crisis postcrisis recovery efforts. *Nigerian Journal of Communication*
- Nyong'a, T. M., & Maina, R. (2019). Influence of strategic leadership on strategy implementation at Kenya Revenue Authority, southern region in Kenya. *International Academic Journal of Human Resource and Business Administration*, 3(5), 128-159.
- Ontita, J., & Kinyua, G. M. (2020). Role of stakeholder management on firm performance: An empirical analysis of commercial banks in Nairobi City County, Kenya. *Journal of Business and Economic Development*, 5(1), 26-35.
- Oppong, S. (2019). Upper echelons theory revisited: The need for a change from causal description to casual explanation. *Management Research Review*, 42(3), 306-325. <https://doi.org/10.1108/MRR-08-2017-0273>
- Otieno, N. A., Malik, F. A., Nganga, S. W., Wairimu, W. N., Ouma, D. O., Bigogo, G. M., ... & Omer, S. B. (2021). Decision-making process for introduction of maternal vaccines in Kenya, 2017-2018. *Implementation Science*, 16, 1-15.
- Ouafaa, R. A. F. I. (2021). The post genocide reconciliation in Rwanda: Erasing ethnicity and building citizenship. *International Journal of Peace and Development Studies*, 12(1), 23-29.
- Sharma, D., & Khosla, N. (2019). A neural net approach to predict burst of traffic under stress conditions in a complex IT environment. In S. Upadhyaya, & M. Tripathi (Eds.), *International Conference on Deep Learning, Artificial Intelligence and Robotics (ICDLAIR 2019)* (pp. 1-7). (Lecture Notes in Networks and Systems). Springer.
- Syakur, A., Susilo, T. A. B., Wike, W., & Ahmadi, R. (2020). Sustainability of communication, organizational culture, cooperation, trust and leadership style for lecturer commitments in higher education. *Budapest International Research and Critics Institute (BIRCI-Journal): Humanities and Social Sciences*, 3(2), 1325-1335.
- Wagana, D. M., Iravo, M. A., Nzulwa, J. D., & Kihoro, J. M. (2017). The moderating effects of e-government on the relationship between administrative decentralization and service delivery in county governments in Kenya.
- Wang, G., Holmes, R. M., Oh, I. S., & Zhu, W. (2016). Do CEOs matter to firm strategic actions and firm performance? A meta-analytic investigation based on Upper Echelons Theory. *Personnel Psychology*, 69(4), 775-862. <https://doi.org/10.1111/peps.12140>
- Welch, M., & Jackson, P. (2007). Re-Thinking Internal Communication: A Stakeholder Approach. *Corporate Communications: An International Journal*, 12, 177-198. <https://doi.org/10.1108/13563280710744847>

Yabarow, M. M., & Muathe, S. M. (2020). Organisational structure and strategy implementation: Empirical evidence from Oil Marketing Companies in Kenya. *International Journal of Management and Applied Research*, 7(1), 42-54.