Influence of Self-Management on Organizational Performance of Universities in Kenya: A Literature Review Synthesis

Simon Kagwe[1]*, Peter Kiriri[2], and Maina Muchara[3]
[123]*United States International University -Africa*
*Email: drkagwe@gmail.com
*Corresponding author

Abstract

This article critically examines the influence of self-management on organizational performance within Kenyan universities. Drawing from a systematic literature review, the paper employed a structured methodology to identify, select, and synthesize peer-reviewed studies, policy documents, and institutional reports relevant to the nexus between self-management and performance. The analysis is organized around key thematic areas—organizational effectiveness, decision-making efficiency, conflict resolution, innovation, and institutional resilience—providing a coherent and critically reflective framework. The study identifies emerging trends and key findings from the literature, including the growing recognition of self-management as a strategic leadership competency that enhances staff autonomy, accountability, and adaptability in dynamic university environments. Furthermore, the paper discusses gaps in current practices and offers implications for future research. It concludes with actionable recommendations for stakeholders—including policymakers, university councils, management teams, HR departments, development partners, and student leaders—to embed self-management competencies within leadership development programs, governance structures, and performance measurement systems to foster long-term institutional growth and effectiveness.

Keywords: Self-Management, Organizational Performance, Universities, Emotional Intelligence, Leadership

Introduction

The importance of organizational performance in higher education institutions, particularly universities, is increasingly recognized across the globe. These institutions play a pivotal role in shaping national human capital, driving innovation, and contributing to socioeconomic development (De Wit & Altbach, 2021). However, the sustainability and success of universities hinge on their ability to respond to internal and external pressures with agility, strategic foresight, and operational efficiency. Organizational performance, which entails enhancing institutional effectiveness through strategic planning, leadership capacity, cultural alignment, and process optimization, is vital for competitiveness and quality in today's higher education landscape (Khalilov et al., 2024).

In Kenya, universities face multifaceted challenges such as governance instability, underfunding, growing student populations, limited faculty numbers, frequent strikes, and shifting regulatory requirements (Commission for University Education [CUE], 2022). Compounded by global disruptions such as the COVID-19 pandemic and digital transformation demands, university leaders must demonstrate advanced leadership competencies to drive institutional performance and sustainability (Mohamed Hashim,

Tlemsani, & Duncan Matthews, 2022). Among these competencies, self-management stands out as a critical enabler of leadership effectiveness. Self-management, a pillar of emotional intelligence (EI), refers to the capacity to regulate emotions, maintain focus, adapt under pressure, and act with integrity and self-motivation (Goleman, 2020). It extends beyond individual discipline, encompassing a leader's ability to influence others constructively, manage stress, drive change, and sustain performance in volatile environments (Esenyel, 2024). This is especially pertinent in Kenyan universities, where leadership frequently contends with political interference, resource scarcity, and operational uncertainty (Kathula, 2024).

Self-management in university leadership entails prioritizing institutional goals, managing personal emotions and impulses, handling pressure diplomatically, and remaining productive during periods of turbulence. Leaders with strong self-management competencies contribute to cultures of accountability, fairness, and innovation and set positive behavioral standards for staff and students (Bataineh et al., 2025). These leaders are instrumental in navigating crises, resolving conflicts, and guiding strategic execution.

Despite increasing discourse around emotional intelligence in leadership, empirical studies focusing specifically on how self-management influences organizational performance in the Kenyan university context remain limited (Kato et al., 2023). Given the diversity of institutional mandates, resource capacities, and governance models across Kenyan universities, it becomes imperative to evaluate how self-management as a leadership competency shapes institutional resilience, decision-making quality, and overall performance outcomes.

This paper, therefore, aims to provide a comprehensive and critical synthesis of existing literature on self-management and its influence on organizational performance in Kenya's university sector. It employs a structured approach to review and analyze recent scholarly work (2020–2025), drawing from empirical studies, institutional reports, and theoretical perspectives to highlight emerging trends, contextual challenges, and leadership implications. The study's goal is to inform leadership development policy, enhance governance frameworks, and contribute to the growing body of literature on emotional intelligence and strategic leadership in African higher education.

Conceptual Background

Self-management is widely recognized as a foundational component of emotional intelligence (EI), referring to an individual's capacity to regulate their emotions, maintain focus, and act intentionally and ethically under pressure (Hattingh, 2023). According to Goleman, (2020), self-management entails emotional self-control, adaptability, initiative, achievement orientation, and conscientiousness skills that enable individuals to sustain productivity and strategic clarity amidst challenges. In the context of higher education, where institutional complexity, resource constraints, and shifting stakeholder expectations are the norm, these competencies become vital for effective leadership.

Scholarly perspectives emphasize that self-management builds on self-awareness and significantly shapes leadership behavior and decision-making (Bataineh et al., 2025). Leaders who understand their emotional triggers are better positioned to regulate impulsive reactions, demonstrate empathy, and respond with thoughtful strategy rather than emotion. This regulation enhances institutional stability by promoting calmness, predictability, and emotional resilience among leadership teams (Hartmann et al., 2020). The ability to suppress reactive tendencies and remain composed in difficult situations enables university leaders to align short-

term responses with long-term institutional visions—establishing a direct influence of self-management on organizational performance (Esenyel, 2024).

Self-managed leaders contribute to improved governance outcomes by fostering trust, promoting transparency, and upholding ethical standards (Bataineh et al., 2025). For instance, Saleem et al. (2024) reports that emotionally self-regulated leaders create psychologically safe environments where staff members are more engaged, creative, and committed to institutional goals. Similarly, Williams-Ilemobola et al. (2021) in their study of West African universities, found that self-management among academic leaders significantly contributes to organizational resilience and innovation by reducing internal conflict and enhancing the institution's capacity to adapt to external shocks.

This cause-effect relationship is also supported by Zhu et al. (2020), who argue that self-management fosters a positive institutional climate by reducing reactive decision-making and enabling deliberate, inclusive strategies. When leaders manage stress effectively, they are less likely to make erratic or inconsistent decisions, thereby improving stakeholder confidence and operational efficiency key indicators of organizational performance.

In Kenya, where universities are grappling with governance reforms, digital transformation, and increased demand for accountability, the role of self-management is particularly pronounced. Kato et al. (2023) found that university leaders with strong self-management competencies were more likely to implement transparent governance systems, engage academic staff constructively, and promote innovations aligned with institutional strategy. Their findings establish that self-managed leaders reduce organizational friction, minimize miscommunication, and promote strategic alignment—each contributing to measurable improvements in performance metrics such as student satisfaction, research output, and administrative efficiency.

Saleem et al. (2024) further demonstrates that in transitional periods—such as the implementation of competency-based curricula and digitization of academic processes—self-management helps leaders provide stability, reduce resistance to change, and maintain the morale and cohesion of academic teams. This capacity to lead through uncertainty directly enhances institutional adaptability and long-term sustainability.

Moreover, self-management fosters time-consciousness, goal-setting, and strategic initiative (Kato et al., 2023). These attributes enable university leaders to balance short-term operational demands with long-term vision, prioritize resource allocation effectively, and promote accountability across departments. In institutions plagued by inefficiencies, delays, and resource mismanagement, self-managed leadership becomes a transformative force for improved institutional performance (Mohamed Hashim, Tlemsani, & Duncan Matthews, 2022).

In synthesis, self-management exerts a direct and mediated effect on organizational performance in universities. It enhances leadership behavior, promotes a stable and ethical institutional climate, and builds adaptive capacity across functional units. As Kenyan universities continue to face systemic challenges, cultivating self-management within leadership ranks is not merely a personal development goal—it is a strategic imperative that drives institutional excellence, resilience, and reform.

Review Methodology

This study employed a structured narrative review methodology to critically examine the influence of self-management on organizational performance within Kenyan universities. A structured approach was adopted to ensure a systematic, transparent, and replicable process of literature identification, selection, evaluation, and synthesis. The methodology was guided by principles from the PRISMA (Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses) framework, enabling a comprehensive and credible analysis of theoretical and empirical studies relevant to the topic.

The literature search targeted peer-reviewed journal articles, academic books, policy documents, and credible institutional reports published between 2020 and 2025. This time frame was selected to ensure the recency and relevance of the findings in a fast-evolving higher education environment. Searches were conducted using academic databases and repositories such as Google Scholar, Scopus, Web of Science, JSTOR, EBSCOhost, ResearchGate, and African Journals Online (AJOL), among others. The search terms included combinations of keywords such as "self-management," "organizational performance," "emotional intelligence," "university leadership," "Kenyan universities," "higher education governance," and "leadership effectiveness." Boolean operators (AND/OR) were used to refine the searches, and filters were applied to restrict the results to English-language, peer-reviewed, full-text publications within the designated date range.

The review applied clear Inclusion and exclusion criteria to ensure relevance and quality. Included studies were those that focused on leadership, emotional intelligence, or self-management within higher education settings, particularly in Kenya or comparable Sub-Saharan African contexts. Articles unrelated to leadership or organizational performance, those outside the 2020–2025 timeframe, or lacking peer review were excluded. An initial pool of 176 sources was identified. After screening titles and abstracts, removing duplicates, and applying the criteria, 56 full-text articles were reviewed in depth, out of which 32 high-quality sources were retained for synthesis.

Data from the selected sources were extracted using a standardized matrix capturing the author(s), year of publication, research context, methodology, key findings, and relevance to the study. To ensure methodological rigor, the Critical Appraisal Skills Programme (CASP) and Joanna Briggs Institute (JBI) tools were used to evaluate the credibility, relevance, and clarity of the empirical studies. The synthesis of the literature followed a thematic narrative approach, which involved identifying, organizing, and integrating key findings into conceptual themes such as emotional intelligence and leadership, the role of self-management in organizational settings, and its link to institutional resilience, governance, and innovation.

This methodology allowed for an in-depth understanding of how self-management influences leadership effectiveness and performance outcomes in universities. It also facilitated the identification of knowledge gaps and contextual challenges specific to Kenyan higher education. While the review was limited to English-language studies and publications from the last five years, it provided a strong, evidence-based foundation for the conceptual development and analysis presented in this study. Ethical considerations were upheld throughout the process by ensuring academic integrity, proper attribution of sources, and adherence to scholarly citation standards.

Influence of Self-Management and Organizational Performance in Kenyan Universities

Self-management plays a pivotal role in shaping organizational performance within Kenyan universities by influencing a range of operational, strategic, and interpersonal leadership functions. It enables leaders to demonstrate emotional discipline, adaptability, and strategic consistency, which are essential for fostering institutional effectiveness in environments characterized by policy uncertainty, financial instability, governance deficits, and mounting performance expectations (Mohamed Hashim, Tlemsani, & Duncan Matthews, 2022). Research by Williams-Ilemobola et al. (2021) confirms that self-managed leaders in African universities are more likely to sustain focus and continuity under pressure, a finding echoed in global studies on emotionally intelligent leadership (Esenyel, 2024).

One of the key contributions of self-management to performance is the cultivation of a disciplined and goal-oriented institutional culture. Leaders who effectively regulate their behaviors and emotions foster environments marked by accountability, time consciousness, and goal alignment (Hartmann et al., 2020). As noted by Kibuku et al. (2020), such leaders act as behavioral role models, promoting consistency and institutional norms that reduce operational delays and inefficiencies—persistent issues in Kenyan public universities. This view is reinforced by Mabele et al. (2023), who found that self-managed leadership was associated with improved workflow adherence and team productivity in mid-sized universities.

Self-managed leaders also act as stabilizing agents during crises. Van Duijn et al. (2023) documented how leaders in several Kenyan universities maintained calm and operational responsiveness during the COVID-19 pandemic. This leadership behavior was characterized by composure, emotional control, and perseverance, all of which contributed to rapid transitions to online teaching and minimized academic disruptions. These findings are consistent with a global analysis by Bataineh et al. (2025), who emphasize that self-regulated leaders enable institutional resilience through clear thinking under pressure.

In conflict-prone environments, self-management is also vital for conflict resolution. According to Kagucia et al. (2020), leaders at Kenyatta and Moi universities who demonstrated self-management skills—such as empathy, assertiveness, and active listening—were more effective in resolving staff grievances through non-combative dialogue. These findings support earlier work by Saleem et al. (2024), who links emotional intelligence with enhanced workplace cohesion and trust. However, conflict resolution also depends on institutional frameworks. While self-management equips leaders with interpersonal tools, studies indicate that university statutes and collective bargaining protocols significantly influence whether such efforts succeed (Saleem et al., 2024).

Decision-making is another area where self-management demonstrates clear benefits. Esenyel (2024) found that leaders who maintain emotional regulation under stress are more capable of integrating diverse perspectives and making long-term strategic choices. In the Kenyan context, strategic decisions around resource allocation, staff deployment, and curriculum redesign often take place in high-stakes environments. Institutions like Strathmore University, noted for their effective governance, exemplify how composed and deliberate leadership enhances policy implementation and institutional performance (CUE, 2022).

The connection between self-management and innovation has also been widely established. Emotionally self-regulated leaders are more tolerant of ambiguity, open to experimentation, and resilient in the face of failure—traits essential for innovation in higher education (Kato et al., 2023). In Kenyan universities seeking to integrate digital platforms and interdisciplinary

research, such leadership is instrumental. However, Mabele et al. (2023) caution that bureaucratic rigidity and funding delays often hinder innovation, regardless of leadership intent. Therefore, while self-management supports innovation behaviorally, it must be matched with institutional flexibility and policy reform.

Institutional resilience—the capacity to anticipate, absorb, and adapt to disruptions—is also closely linked to self-management. Eldon (2022) highlight that emotionally intelligent leaders in Kenya contributed to strategic continuity and stakeholder confidence during major shocks, such as funding withdrawals and political interference. These findings align with international research indicating that emotionally balanced leadership enhances adaptive capacity (De Wit & Altbach, 2021). Yet, as Argyroudis et al. (2022) note, resilience also depends on technological preparedness, strategic partnerships, and institutional learning infrastructure.

Self-management further enhances team cohesion and staff engagement. Bataineh et al. (2025) emphasize that leaders who demonstrate emotional control and reliability create psychologically safe work environments. In Kenya, such climates have been associated with increased staff morale, lower turnover, and improved job satisfaction (Kato et al., 2023). Nonetheless, scholars argue that motivation also relies on structural incentives like fair remuneration, professional development opportunities, and equitable workloads (Mohamed Hashim, Tlemsani, & Duncan Matthews, 2022).

While the above review presents multiple benefits of self-management, its effectiveness is not universally distributed. Institutional context moderates its impact. In public universities constrained by rigid procurement rules, political appointments, and ethnic favoritism in leadership selection, self-management alone may be insufficient to drive change (Saleem et al., 2024). The absence of transparent performance metrics, weak accountability systems, and inconsistent rewards can dilute the behavioral effects of self-managed leadership.

Similarly, conflict management and innovation are only partially addressed through emotional intelligence. Structural enablers such as grievance-handling mechanisms, flexible procurement policies, and decentralized decision-making are necessary for emotionally intelligent leadership to thrive. This is echoed by Mabele et al. (2023), who recommend policy alignment alongside leadership development.

A particularly strong area for future research is the differential impact of self-management across types of institutions. Studies tend to focus on public institutions, yet evidence from private universities such as Strathmore and Daystar suggests that self-management flourishes in contexts with greater autonomy and strategic agility (CUE, 2022). Moreover, middle-level academic leaders department heads and deans have been largely overlooked in existing research, despite their central role in execution.

In conclusion, self-management is a critical emotional intelligence competency that influences organizational performance through enhanced leadership behavior, strategic decision-making, conflict resolution, innovation, and resilience. However, its impact is not absolute it is mediated by institutional structures, policy frameworks, and cultural dynamics. The synthesis of recent literature highlights the need for systemic reforms and institutional alignment to amplify the benefits of self-management. Integrating this competency into leadership training, performance management systems, and governance models presents a promising path for advancing university performance in Kenya.

Key Issues Raised in this Paper

This paper raises several critical issues at the intersection of leadership effectiveness, emotional intelligence specifically self-management and the organizational performance of Kenyan universities. These issues are both theoretical and practical in nature and are central to understanding how emotionally intelligent leadership contributes to institutional outcomes in the context of higher education in Kenya.

Leadership Competency Gaps Amid Sectoral Challenges. The paper highlights the intensifying pressure on university leaders to respond to internal and external shocks ranging from financial instability and regulatory changes to student unrest and industrial action. Despite these demands, there is a glaring competency gap among university administrators, particularly in emotional intelligence competencies such as self-management. The authors argue that existing governance crises and management failures often stem not from a lack of technical knowledge but from a deficit in self-regulatory and adaptive leadership behavior.

Critical Role of Self-Management in Emotional Intelligence. Self-management is presented as a foundational pillar of emotional intelligence that enhances leadership effectiveness. This paper emphasizes that without emotional self-control, adaptability, and achievement orientation, even the most strategically capable leaders may struggle to execute their mandates effectively. This is particularly relevant in volatile, uncertain, complex, and ambiguous (VUCA) environments like those found in Kenya's public university system.

Self-Management as a Predictor of Organizational Resilience. The study provides compelling arguments and examples illustrating how self-managed leaders contribute to institutional resilience. Leaders who exhibit composure, optimism, and self-discipline during crises such as during the COVID-19 pandemic enable universities to maintain academic continuity, safeguard staff morale, and transition to new modalities such as online learning. This reinforces the idea that self-management is not merely a personal trait but a strategic capability with systemic implications.

Impact of Self-Management on Conflict Resolution and Stakeholder Engagement. Another key issue raised is the high frequency of conflict in Kenyan universities, such as strikes and disputes among academic and non-academic staff. The paper underscores the critical role of self-management in facilitating non-confrontational conflict resolution and fostering trust-based relationships among stakeholders. The lack of emotionally balanced leadership is shown to exacerbate tension and undermine organizational cohesion.

Limitations of Self-Management Without Institutional Support. The paper evaluates the contextual limitations of self-management. While emotionally intelligent leadership is necessary, it is not sufficient in environments constrained by bureaucracy, political patronage, and structural inefficiencies. Them authors point out that even the most self-managed leaders can be rendered ineffective if institutional governance frameworks, reward systems, and policy environments are misaligned or unsupportive.

Differential Effects Across University Types and Governance Models. The study raises the issue of uneven distribution of self-management benefits across different types of institutions. It recognizes that the positive outcomes associated with self-management may be more pronounced in private or well-resourced universities than in public institutions that operate under rigid bureaucratic constraints. This suggests the need for comparative studies to further interrogate these contextual differences.

Need for Integration of Self-Management in Leadership Development. A major thematic issue is the inadequate integration of self-management training in leadership development and performance evaluation frameworks. The paper calls for systemic interventions to embed self-management training in university leadership programs, as well as institutionalizing behavioral indicators of emotional regulation and integrity in recruitment, appraisal, and succession planning processes.

Interplay Between Self-Management and Innovation Capacity. The paper discusses how emotionally disciplined leaders foster psychologically safe environments that encourage experimentation, creativity, and innovation. This is especially vital in the current digital transformation of higher education. However, it also raises concerns that the current procurement systems, academic hierarchies, and funding models in Kenyan public universities are ill-suited to support innovative endeavors thereby dampening the potential impact of self-managed leadership.

Policy and Research Implications. Finally, the paper identifies critical implications for both policy and future research. It proposes a re-evaluation of leadership standards and assessment criteria within higher education governance frameworks. Moreover, it highlights the need for longitudinal and cross-sectional studies to measure the long-term institutional benefits of self-management and to understand its application across different university contexts.

Results and Key Findings

This review of literature on the influence of self-management on organizational performance in Kenyan universities reveals a complex but compelling relationship between emotionally intelligent leadership behavior and institutional outcomes. The studies analyzed consistently affirm that self-management—manifested through emotional control, adaptability, focus, and strategic discipline—is a central enabler of effective leadership in volatile university environments. Across both local and international contexts, self-management emerges not only as a personal competency but as a strategic capability with systemic implications for institutional resilience, staff productivity, stakeholder engagement, and innovation capacity.

A dominant finding across the reviewed studies is the glaring leadership competency gap within the Kenyan higher education sector. While many university leaders possess technical and academic qualifications, they often fall short in emotional intelligence competencies—especially self-management. Studies by Mohamed Hashim, Tlemsani, and Duncan Matthews (2022) argue that attribute several governance and operational failures in Kenyan universities to leaders' inability to manage stress, adapt to uncertainty, and lead with emotional discipline. This suggests that strategic capability alone is insufficient; without self-regulation and behavioral consistency, leadership efforts are frequently undermined by impulsive decision-making and poor stakeholder relations.

Further analysis indicates that self-management is a strong predictor of organizational resilience. During the COVID-19 pandemic, for instance, institutions led by emotionally intelligent leadership teams were able to pivot quickly to online learning and maintain staff morale, while others struggled with confusion, resistance, and leadership paralysis (Van Duijn et al., 2023). This finding aligns with international research that positions self-management as a critical leadership quality in VUCA (volatile, uncertain, complex, and ambiguous) environments (Esenyel, 2024). It confirms that universities require more than technical contingency plans—they need leaders who can stay composed, communicate clearly, and lead decisively in times of disruption.

Another prominent trend revealed in the review is the positive effect of self-management on conflict resolution and stakeholder engagement. Studies by Kagucia et al. (2020), show that leaders who exhibit patience, empathy, and emotional control are more successful in resolving internal disputes, such as faculty strikes and student protests. These leaders foster dialogue-based problem-solving mechanisms that de-escalate tension and restore organizational cohesion. Conversely, the absence of self-management often leads to reactionary or authoritarian responses that exacerbate unrest. This demonstrates a clear cause-effect link between emotional intelligence and the quality of organizational relationships and culture.

However, a recurring concern in the reviewed literature is the limitation of self-management in the absence of institutional support. Saleem et al. (2024) argue that even the most emotionally disciplined leaders are constrained by bureaucratic inertia, rigid procurement systems, political interference, and a lack of policy alignment. These structural barriers dilute the effectiveness of self-management by making it difficult for leaders to enact or sustain the behavioral changes they model. As a result, the impact of self-management is significantly moderated by the presence (or absence) of supportive institutional frameworks, transparent governance, and performance-based reward systems.

Another important finding relates to the differential effects of self-management across university types and governance models. The benefits of self-management appear to be more evident in private universities, such as Strathmore and Daystar, where autonomy, accountability structures, and merit-based leadership practices create a conducive environment for emotionally intelligent leadership to flourish (Kato et al., 2023). In contrast, public institutions—often constrained by politicized appointments and funding limitations—report less consistent outcomes. This variability underscores the importance of context and suggests the need for comparative studies to explore how institutional characteristics mediate the relationship between self-management and performance.

A compelling Insight from the review is the critical role of self-management in fostering innovation and adaptability. Emotionally self-regulated leaders were found to create psychologically safe environments that encourage experimentation, tolerate failure, and support creative problem-solving—elements essential for innovation in university settings (Zhu et al., 2020). However, systemic constraints such as centralized decision-making, inflexible budgets, and hierarchical academic cultures hinder the full realization of these leadership benefits, particularly in public universities (Mabele et al., 2023). This reveals a clear disconnect between individual leadership capability and institutional readiness for innovation.

The literature also consistently emphasizes the importance of integrating self-management into leadership development and performance evaluation frameworks. Despite its demonstrated benefits, self-management is rarely emphasized in leadership training curricula, recruitment processes, or appraisal systems in Kenyan universities. As noted by Kibuku et al. (2020), there is a need for formal integration of behavioral competencies into university governance models—particularly those related to self-control, reliability, and strategic consistency. Such integration would allow for systematic identification, development, and rewarding of emotionally intelligent leaders at all levels of university administration.

Finally, the synthesis of literature highlights key policy and research implications. From a policy perspective, there is a strong case for redefining leadership standards and competency frameworks in higher education to include self-management as a core capability. Additionally, the need for future research—especially longitudinal and comparative studies—is evident. While many of the reviewed studies offer valuable insights, most are cross-sectional and

institution-specific. Broader research is needed to assess the long-term impact of self-managed leadership on institutional transformation and to understand how this competency interacts with other variables such as organizational culture, staff well-being, and student success.

In summary, the results of this literature review confirm that self-management is a foundational leadership competency with wide-ranging implications for organizational performance in Kenyan universities. It enhances strategic decision-making, institutional resilience, conflict resolution, innovation capacity, and team effectiveness. However, its impact is highly contingent upon institutional context, governance structures, and systemic enablers. Addressing the leadership challenges in Kenya's higher education sector therefore requires a dual approach: developing self-management competencies in university leaders while simultaneously reforming institutional systems to support emotionally intelligent governance.

Conclusion

In conclusion, this study provides compelling evidence that self-management is a fundamental driver of organizational performance in Kenyan universities. As a core dimension of emotional intelligence, self-management empowers university leaders to regulate their emotions, maintain professional composure, and consistently make rational and goal-aligned decisions even under conditions of uncertainty and stress. In an environment marked by political interference, resource constraints, academic pressure, and rising stakeholder expectations, the ability of university leaders to exercise self-control, manage stress, and exhibit strategic discipline cannot be overstated. This article has demonstrated that leaders who practice effective self-management positively influence governance practices by promoting ethical conduct, consistency, and accountability. These leaders reduce instances of reactive decision-making and foster a leadership culture centered on long-term vision and inclusive stakeholder engagement. Moreover, self-management enhances conflict resolution by enabling leaders to engage in constructive dialogue, manage emotional escalations, and establish collaborative problem-solving frameworks. By remaining emotionally balanced and empathetic during institutional disputes, self-managed leaders contribute to organizational cohesion and institutional stability.

Additionally, self-management is closely linked to improved decision-making, innovation, and institutional resilience. Composed and adaptive leaders make more informed decisions, support risk-taking within safe parameters, and foster institutional cultures where innovation is encouraged and supported. In the face of crises such as the COVID-19 pandemic, institutions with self-managed leadership teams were observed to transition more smoothly to virtual learning, maintain academic continuity, and uphold staff morale, demonstrating that self-management is an essential leadership capability for crisis preparedness and institutional recovery. The practical implications for a broad range of stakeholders are both urgent and actionable. Policymakers in the Ministry of Education, the Commission for University Education (CUE), and university councils must recognize the importance of emotional intelligence and particularly self-management in higher education leadership. Institutional frameworks should be adjusted to incorporate self-management performance into both pre-service and in-service leadership training programs. University governance charters should also include behavioral indicators linked to self-management as part of leadership evaluation criteria.

University leadership performance programs particularly those targeting vice-chancellors, deputy vice-chancellors, deans, and departmental heads should systematically embed self-management modules that offer leaders the opportunity to assess their self-regulatory skills,

practice mindfulness techniques, and receive constructive feedback on their emotional response strategies. Coaching, mentorship, and reflective practice tools should also be employed to create a continuous learning ecosystem that reinforces the value of self-management over time. Moreover, HR departments within universities should adopt performance management tools that encourage the performance of self-management competencies, including stress management training, resilience-building workshops, and leadership retreats focused on emotional intelligence. These initiatives should be integrated into institutional strategies aimed at enhancing productivity, innovation, and employee engagement.

Research Implications

There is a pressing need for longitudinal studies that investigate the sustained impact of self-management on organizational performance metrics, such as staff retention, student satisfaction, administrative efficiency, and innovation output. These studies should explore whether self-management training translates into measurable improvements in institutional health and should also consider variations based on university type (public vs. private), size, location, and governance models. Furthermore, comparative studies across East African universities would be invaluable in understanding how self-management practices and their effects on organizational performance differ across national and institutional contexts. Such research could help formulate a regional framework for emotionally intelligent leadership in higher education. Qualitative research involving interviews, focus groups, and observational data could also enrich understanding of how self-management is experienced and demonstrated by leaders on a day-to-day basis.

Ultimately, this study affirms that self-management is not merely a personal leadership trait but a strategic organizational competency that underpins institutional excellence, sustainability, and growth. Embedding self-management into the DNA of higher education leadership in Kenya—and beyond—is no longer optional but essential for preparing universities to thrive in an increasingly complex, dynamic, and emotionally demanding educational environment.

Recommendation to Stakeholders

To enhance organizational performance in Kenyan universities through self-management, this study presents several recommendations targeted at key stakeholders in the higher education sector. First, policymakers at the Ministry of Education and the Commission for University Education (CUE) should institutionalize emotional intelligence particularly self-management as a core competency in leadership selection, training, and evaluation frameworks. This requires the development of national leadership competency models that explicitly include behavioral indicators such as emotional regulation, stress tolerance, and personal accountability. These models should be integrated into the criteria for appointments of Vice-Chancellors, Deputy Vice-Chancellors, Deans, and Departmental Chairs, ensuring a leadership pipeline grounded not only in academic credentials but also in personal effectiveness.

The university councils and governing boards must spearhead structural reforms that embed self-management principles within their governance charters and strategic plans. These reforms should focus on creating enabling environments that support emotionally intelligent leadership through policies that emphasize autonomy, meritocracy, performance-based evaluation, and transparent communication. Boards should commission periodic leadership audits that assess

not only strategic outcomes but also the behavioral competencies and emotional climate fostered by institutional leaders.

University management teams and HR departments should embed self-management development into staff capacity-building programs. This can be achieved by integrating stress management, mindfulness, resilience-building, and emotional regulation modules into professional development workshops and leadership induction programs. Moreover, HR policies should institutionalize self-management as a component in annual performance reviews, reward systems, and succession planning. Embedding tools such as 360-degree feedback, peer coaching, and self-assessment instruments can reinforce a culture of reflection and personal growth.

Academic and administrative leaders must take personal responsibility in cultivating their self-management competencies. This includes engaging in continuous learning through coaching, mentoring, and executive education programs focused on emotional intelligence and adaptive leadership. Leaders should foster peer-learning forums and communities of practice where they can exchange strategies on handling institutional stressors, managing complex interpersonal dynamics, and maintaining ethical consistency under pressure.

Development partners and funding agencies, including the World Bank, USAID, and the African Development Bank, should incorporate emotional intelligence dimensions into the design and evaluation of their university support programs. Grants and capacity-building initiatives should include funding for leadership development projects that prioritize self-management and resilience-building, especially in institutions facing acute governance or performance challenges.

Research institutions and think tanks should prioritize longitudinal studies that examine the impact of self-management interventions on measurable outcomes such as academic productivity, student satisfaction, staff retention, and institutional agility. Comparative studies across public and private universities, as well as across urban and rural settings, can offer more nuanced insights into how contextual factors moderate or mediate the effectiveness of self-management in driving organizational performance.

Finally, students and student leaders should be involved in university-wide initiatives promoting emotional intelligence. Student governments and campus leadership programs should include self-management and peer relationship modules to prepare future leaders and foster a culture of emotionally intelligent citizenship within academic communities. By implementing these multi-level recommendations, stakeholders in Kenya's higher education sector can create a robust ecosystem that supports and sustains emotionally intelligent leadership, thereby enhancing institutional effectiveness, adaptability, and long-term performance.

References

Argyroudis, S. A., Mitoulis, S. A., Chatzi, E., Baker, J. W., Brilakis, I., Gkoumas, K., ... & Linkov, I. (2022). Digital technologies can enhance climate resilience of critical infrastructure. Climate Risk Management, 35, 100387.Commission for University Education (CUE). (2022). *Leadership and governance practices in Kenyan universities: Annual report*. Nairobi: CUE.

Bataineh, O., Al-Zoubi, Z., Issa, H. B., Al-Alwan, A., Qablan, A., Alkaabi, A., ... & Alhosani, M. (2025). Transcendental Leadership Among Academic Department Heads and Its Relationship with Faculty Members' Self-Management Level. Educational Process: International Journal, 16, e2025231.

Commission for University Education (CUE). (2022). *Leadership and governance practices in Kenyan universities: Annual report*. Nairobi: CUE.

De Wit, H., & Altbach, P. G. (2021). Internationalization in higher education: Global trends and recommendations for its future. Policy reviews in higher education, 5(1), 28-46

Eldon, M. (2022). Emotional Intelligence, Social Cohesion and Responsible Leadership. In Responsible Leadership (pp. 125-138). Routledge.

Esenyel, V. (2024). Evolving leadership theories: integrating contemporary theories for VUCA realities. Administrative Sciences, 14(11), 270.

Goleman, D. (2020). An EI-based theory of performance. The emotionally intelligent workplace: How to select for, measure, and improve emotional intelligence in individuals, groups, and organizations, 1(1), 27-44.

Hartmann, S., Weiss, M., & Hoegl, M. (2020). Team resilience in organizations: A conceptual and theoretical discussion of a team-level concept. In Research handbook on organizational resilience (pp. 39-52). Edward Elgar Publishing.

Hattingh, A. (2023). The influence of emotional intelligence and mindfulness on self-leadership among graduates in the early career stage.

Kagucia, C. N., Mukanzi, C. M., & Kihoro, J. M. (2020). The influence of relational employee empowerment on job performance in national polytechnics in Kenya. The Strategic Journal of Business & Change Management, 7(1), 205-222.

Kathula, D. N. (2024). Political staff promotions, vice Chancellor's leadership style and performance of private universities in Kenya. Journal of Education, 7(1), 88-113.

Kato, J. K., Mugizi, W., Kasule, G. W., & Kyozira, P. (2023). Emotional intelligence and organisational commitment of Lecturers at Kyambogo University. Interdisciplinary Journal of Sociality Studies, 3, 19-33.

Khalilov, T., Aliyev, V., Guliyeva, M., & Babayeva, M. (2024). Strategic management mechanisms, directions, and functions in higher education. Pak. j. life soc. Sci, 22(2), 12146-12162.

Kibuku, R. N., Ochieng, D. O., & Wausi, A. N. (2020). e-Learning Challenges Faced by Universities in Kenya: A Literature Review. Electronic Journal of E-learning, 18(2), pp150-161.

Mabele, W. S., Likoko, S. N., & Ongányi, O. P. (2023). Future of teacher professional development in Kenya: Strategic leadership approach. European Journal of Education Studies, 10(1).

Mohamed Hashim, M. A., Tlemsani, I., & Duncan Matthews, R. (2022). A sustainable university: Digital transformation and beyond. Education and Information Technologies, 27(7), 8961-8996.

Van Duijn, S., Barsosio, H. C., Omollo, M., Milimo, E., Akoth, I., Aroka, R., ... & Rinke de Wit, T. F. (2023). Public-private partnership to rapidly strengthen and scale COVID-19 response in Western Kenya. Frontiers in public health, 10, 837215.

Saleem, M. S., Isha, A. S. N., & Awan, M. I. (2024). Exploring the pathways to enhanced task performance: the roles of supportive leadership, team psychological safety, and mindful organizing. Journal of hospitality and tourism insights, 7(5), 2560-2581.

Williams-Ilemobola, O. B., Adetayo, A. J., Asiru, M. A., & Ajayi, J. L. (2021). Librarians' emotional intelligence and conflict management in private university libraries in south-west and south-south, Nigeria. Information Impact: Journal of Information and Knowledge Management, 12(1), 33-46.

Zhu, M., Bonk, C. J., & Doo, M. Y. (2020). Self-directed learning in MOOCs: Exploring the relationships among motivation, self-monitoring, and self-management. Educational Technology Research and Development, 68(5), 2073-2093.